

T-ADIC EXPONENTIAL SUMS OF POLYNOMIALS IN ONE VARIABLE

CHUNLEI LIU AND WENXIN LIU

ABSTRACT. The T -adic exponential sum of a polynomial in one variable is studied. An explicit arithmetic polygon in terms of the highest two exponents of the polynomial is proved to be a lower bound of the Newton polygon of the C -function of the T -adic exponential sum. This bound gives lower bounds for the Newton polygon of the L -function of exponential sums of p -power order.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number, q a power of p , and $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with q elements. Let W be the Witt ring scheme, $\mathbb{Z}_q = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\mathbb{Q}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let $\Delta \supsetneq \{0\}$ be an integral convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and I the set of vertices of Δ different from the origin. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} (a_u x^u, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \text{ with } \prod_{u \in I} a_u \neq 0,$$

where $x^u = x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$ if $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 1.1. For any positive integer l , the T -adic exponential sum associated to f is the sum:

$$S_f(l, T) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^l}^\times)^n} (1 + T)^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^l}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(f(x))} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].$$

The T -adic L -function $L_f(s, T)$ associated to f is defined by the formula

$$L_f(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} S_f(l, T) \frac{s^l}{l}\right) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]].$$

Let $m \geq 1$, ζ_{p^m} a primitive p^m -th root of unity, and $\pi_m = \zeta_{p^m} - 1$. Then the specialization $L_f(s, \pi_m)$ is the L -function of p -power order exponential sums $S_f(l, \pi_m)$. These p -power order exponential sums were studied by Adolphson-Sperber [AS] for $m = 1$, and by Liu-Wei [LW] for $m \geq 1$.

We view $L_f(s, T)$ as a power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$. So we call it a T -adic L -function.

This research is supported by NSFC Grant No. 10671015.

Definition 1.2. *The T -adic C -function $C_f(s, T)$ associated to f is the generating function*

$$C_f(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} -(q^l - 1)^{-n} S_f(l, T) \frac{s^l}{l}\right).$$

We have

$$L_f(s, T) = \prod_{i=0}^n C_f(q^i s, T)^{(-1)^{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i}},$$

and

$$C_f(s, T)^{(-1)^{n-1}} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_f(q^j s, T)^{\binom{n+j-1}{j}}.$$

So the C -function $C_f(s, T)$ and the L -function $L_f(s, T)$ determine each other. From the last identity, one sees that

$$C_f(s, T) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]].$$

We also view $C_f(s, T)$ as a power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$. The C -function $C_f(s, T)$ was shown to be T -adic entire by Liu-Wan [LWn].

Let $C(\Delta)$ be the cone generated by Δ , and $M(\Delta) = C(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. There is a degree function $\deg$ on $C(\Delta)$ which is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear and takes the values 1 on every co-dimension 1 face not containing 0. For example, if $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$, then the degree function on $C(\Delta)$ is defined by the formula

$$\deg(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0, \\ \frac{a}{d(\operatorname{sgn}(a))}, & a \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $d(\varepsilon)$ is the nonzero endpoint of Δ with sign $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. For $a \notin C(\Delta)$, we define $\deg(a) = +\infty$.

Definition 1.3. *A convex function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial value 0 is called the Hodge polygon of Δ if its slopes between consecutive integers are the numbers $\deg(a)$, $a \in M(\Delta)$. We denote it by H_{Δ}^{∞} .*

Liu-Wan [LWn] proved the following.

Theorem 1.4. *We have*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \operatorname{ord}_p(q)(p-1)H_{\Delta}^{\infty},$$

where NP is the short for Newton polygon.

From now on we assume that $\Delta = [0, d]$.

Definition 1.5. *For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we define*

$$\delta_{\in}(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & pi \equiv a(d) \text{ for some } i < d\{\frac{a}{d}\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ is the fractional part of a real number.

Definition 1.6. A convex function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial value 0 is called the arithmetic polygon of $\Delta = [0, d]$ if its slopes between consecutive integers are the numbers

$$\varpi_{\Delta}(a) = \lceil (p-1) \deg(a) \rceil - \delta_{\in}(a), a \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the least integer equal or greater than a real number. We denote it by p_{Δ} .

Liu-Liu-Niu [LLN] proved the following.

Theorem 1.7. If $p > 3d$, then

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{\Delta},$$

with equality holding for a generic f of degree d .

By a result of Li [Li], $L_f(s, \pi_m)$ is a polynomial with degree $p^{m-1}d$ if $p \nmid d$. Combined this result with the above theorem, one can infer the following.

Theorem 1.8. If $p > 3d$, then

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{\Delta} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d],$$

with equality holding for a generic f of degree d .

The Newton polygon of the L -function $L_f(s, \pi_m)$ for $m = 1$ was studied by Zhu [Zhu, Zhu2] and Blache-Férard [BF].

We assume that the second highest exponent of f is k . So $k \leq d-1$, and

$$f(x) = (a_d x^d, 0, 0, \dots) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \text{ with } a_d a_k \neq 0.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{d,[0,k]}(a) = & \left\lfloor \frac{pa}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{rpa}{k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{r_a}{k} \right\rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^{r_a} (1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_a}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_a}{k}\}}) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{r_{a-1}} (1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{a-1}}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{a-1}}{k}\}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_a = d\{\frac{a}{d}\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.9. A convex function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which is linear between consecutive integers with initial value 0 is called the arithmetic polygon of $\{d\} \cup [0, k]$ if its slopes between consecutive integers are the numbers $\varpi_{d,[0,k]}(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote it by $p_{d,[0,k]}$.

We can prove the following.

Theorem 1.10. We have $p_{d,[0,k]} \geq p_{\Delta}$.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.11. *If $p > d(2d + 1)$, then*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]}.$$

Corollary 1.12. *If $p > d(2d + 1)$, then*

$$\pi_m\text{-adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

We put forward the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.13. *Let $k < d$ be fixed positive integers. If p is sufficiently large, then for a generic f of the form*

$$f(x) = (a_dx^d, 0, 0, \dots) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_ix^i, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \text{ with } a_da_k \neq 0,$$

we have

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]}.$$

The above conjecture implies the following.

Conjecture 1.14. *Let $k < d$ be fixed positive integers. Then for a generic f of the form*

$$f(x) = (a_dx^d, 0, 0, \dots) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_ix^i, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \text{ with } a_da_k \neq 0,$$

the T^{p-1} -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$ uniformly converges to $\text{ord}_p(q)H_{[0,d]}^\infty$ as p goes to infinity.

The above conjecture again implies the following.

Conjecture 1.15. *Let $k < d$ be fixed positive integers. Then for a generic f of the form*

$$f(x) = (a_dx^d, 0, 0, \dots) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_ix^i, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \text{ with } a_da_k \neq 0,$$

the π_m^{p-1} -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ converges to $\text{ord}_p(q)H_{[0,d]}^\infty$ as p goes to infinity.

The last two conjectures are analogues of a conjecture put forward by Wan [Wa, Wa2].

2. THE T -ADIC DWORK THEORY

In this section we review the T -adic analogue of Dwork theory on exponential sums.

Let

$$E(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{p^i}}{p^i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \lambda_i t^i \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$$

be the p -adic Artin-Hasse exponential series. Define a new T -adic uniformizer π of $\mathbb{Q}_p((T))$ by the formula $E(\pi) = 1 + T$. Let $\pi^{1/d}$ be a fixed d -th root of π . Let $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ be the Teichmüller lifting. One can show that the series

$$E_f(x) := E(\pi \hat{a}_d x^d) \prod_{i=1}^k E(\pi \hat{a}_i x^i)$$

lies in the T -adic Banach module

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i \pi^{\deg(i)} x^i : c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]] \right\}.$$

Note that the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ can act on L but keeping $\pi^{1/d}$ as well as the variable x fixed. Let σ be the Frobenius element in the Galois group such that $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^p$ if ζ is a $(q-1)$ -th root of unity. Let Ψ_p be the operator on L defined by the formula

$$\Psi_p\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_{pi} x^i.$$

Then $\Psi := \sigma^{-1} \circ \Psi_p \circ E_f$ acts on the T -adic Banach module

$$B = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_i \pi^{\deg(i)} x^i \in L, \text{ord}_T(c_i) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ if } \deg(i) \rightarrow +\infty \right\}.$$

We call it Dwork's T -adic semi-linear operator because it is semi-linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]]$. Let $b = \text{ord}_p(q)$, the b -iterate Ψ^b is linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]]$, since

$$\Psi^b = \Psi_p^b \circ \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(x^{p^i}).$$

One can show that Ψ is completely continuous in the sense of Serre [Se]. So $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]])$ and $\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{1/d}]])$ are well-defined.

We now state the T -adic Dwork trace formula.

Theorem 2.1 (T -adic Dwork trace formula [LWn]). *We have*

$$C_f(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]])$$

3. KEY ESTIMATE

In order to study

$$C_f(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{1/d}]])$$

we first study

$$\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{1/d}]]) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^i c_i s^i.$$

We are going to show that

Theorem 3.1. *If $p > d(2d + 1)$, then we have*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(c_{bm}) \geq bp_{d,[0,k]}(m).$$

Fix a normal basis $\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_b$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_b$ be their Teichmüller lifts. Then $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_b$ is a normal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and σ acts on $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_b$ as a permutation. Let $(\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq u, \omega \leq b}$ be the matrix of Ψ on $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{1/d})$ with respect to the basis $\{\xi_u x^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq u \leq b}$. Then

$$c_{bm} = \sum_R \det((\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{(i,u),(j,\omega) \in R}),$$

where R runs over all subsets of $\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$ with cardinality bm .

So Theorem 3.1 is reduced to the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $R \subset \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$ be a subset of cardinality bm . If $p > d(2d + 1)$, then*

$$\text{ord}_T(\det(\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)})_{(i,u),(j,\omega) \in R}) \geq bp_{d,[0,k]}(m).$$

Let $O(\pi^\alpha)$ denote any element of π -adic order $\geq \alpha$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)} = O(\pi^{\lceil \frac{pi-j}{d} \rceil + \lceil \frac{rpi-j}{k} \rceil}).$$

Proof. Write

$$E_f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_i x^i.$$

Then

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{\substack{dn_d + \sum_{j=1}^k jn_j = i \\ n_j \geq 0}} \pi^{\sum_{j=1}^k n_j + n_d} \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{n_j} \hat{a}_j^{n_j} \lambda_{n_d} \hat{a}_d^{n_d} = O(\pi^{\lceil \frac{i}{d} \rceil + \lceil \frac{r_i}{k} \rceil}).$$

Since

$$(\xi_\omega \gamma_{pi-j})^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{u=1}^b \gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)} \xi_u,$$

we have

$$\text{ord}_T(\gamma_{(i,u),(j,\omega)}) = \text{ord}_T(\gamma_{pi-j}).$$

The lemma now follows. $\square$

By the above lemma, Theorem 3.2 is reduced to the following.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $R \subset \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$ be a subset of cardinality bm , and τ a permutation of R . Suppose that $p > d(2d + 1)$. Then*

$$\sum_{(i,u) \in R} (\lceil \frac{pi - \tau(i)}{d} \rceil + \lceil \frac{r_{pi - \tau(i)}}{k} \rceil) \geq bp_{d,[0,k]}(m),$$

where $\tau(i)$ is defined by $\tau(i, u) = (\tau(i), \tau(u))$.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{d,[0,k]}(m) &= \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \varpi_{d,[0,k]}(a) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} ([\frac{pa}{d}] - [\frac{a}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pa}}{k}] - [\frac{r_a}{k}] + 1_{\{\frac{r_{pa}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{r_a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi - \tau(i)}{d}] + \lceil \frac{r_{pi - \tau(i)}}{k} \rceil) \\ &= \sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\{\frac{pi}{d}\} - \{\frac{\tau(i)}{d}\}] + \lceil \frac{r_{pi} - r_{\tau(i)} - d[\{\frac{pi}{d}\} - \{\frac{\tau(i)}{d}\}]}{k} \rceil) \\ &= \sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + \lceil \frac{r_{pi} - r_{\tau(i)} + (d-k)1_{r_{\tau(i)} > r_{pi}}}{k} \rceil) \\ &= \sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}] + \lceil \frac{(d-k)1_{r_{\tau(i)} > r_{pi}}}{k} + \{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\} - \{\frac{r_{\tau(i)}}{k}\} \rceil). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(i,u) \in R} \lceil \frac{(d-k)1_{r_{\tau(i)} > r_{pi}}}{k} + \{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\} - \{\frac{r_{\tau(i)}}{k}\} \rceil \\ &\geq \sum_{(i,u) \in R} 1_{\{\frac{r_{\tau(i)}}{k}\} \leq \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\} < \{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\}} \\ &\geq \sum_{(i,u) \in R} 1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}} - \sum_{(i,u) \in R} 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) \\ &= b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) + \sum_{\substack{(i,u) \in R \\ i \geq m}} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{(i,u) \notin R \\ 0 \leq i < m}} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) + N([\frac{pm}{d}] - [\frac{m}{d}] - [\frac{d-1}{k}]) \\
&\quad - N([\frac{p(m-1)}{d}] - [\frac{m-1}{d}] + [\frac{d-1}{k}]) \\
&\geq b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) + N([\frac{p}{d}] - 1 - 2(d-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

where $N = \#\{(i, u) \in R | i \geq m\} = \#\{(i, u) \notin R | 0 \leq i < m\}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{(i,u) \in R} 1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} \geq b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} - N,$$

and

$$\sum_{(i,u) \in R} 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} \leq b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} + N.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(i,u) \in R} ([\frac{pi - \tau(i)}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi - \tau(i)}}{k}]) \\
&\geq b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ([\frac{pi}{d}] - [\frac{i}{d}] + [\frac{r_{pi}}{k}] - [\frac{r_i}{k}]) + b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1_{\{\frac{r_{pi}}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} - b \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1_{\{\frac{r_i}{k} > \{\frac{r_{m-1}}{k}\}\}} \\
&\quad + N([\frac{p}{d}] - 1 - 2(d-1) - 2) \\
&= bp_{d,[0,k]}(m) + N([\frac{p}{d}] - 2d + 1) \geq bp_{d,[0,k]}(m).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove Theorem 1.11, which says that, if $p > d(2d+1)$, then

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *The Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]) = \text{Norm}(\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]$. The lemma now follows from the equality

$$\prod_{\zeta^b=1} \det(1 - \Psi \zeta s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]).$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points*

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s^b \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(i, \text{ord}_T(c_i)), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is clear that $(i, \text{ord}_T(c_i))$ is not a vertex of that polygon if $b \nmid i$. So that Newton polygon is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(bm, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

It follows that the T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

□

We now prove Theorem 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$C_f(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^b s \mid B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d}}]]).$$

Then by Corollary 4.2, the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, T)$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(m, \text{ord}_T(c_{bm})), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Therefore the result follows from Theorem 3.1, which says that, if $p > d(2d + 1)$, then we have

$$\text{ord}_\pi(c_{bm}) \geq bp_{d,[0,k]}(m).$$

□

We conclude this section by proving Corollary 1.12.

Proof of Corollary 1.12. Assume that $L_f(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i s)$. Then

$$C_f(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_f(q^j s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i q^j s).$$

Therefore the slopes of the q -adic Newton polygon of $C_f(s, \pi_m)$ are the numbers

$$j + \text{ord}_q(\beta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1}d, j = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is well-known that $\text{ord}_q(\beta_i) \leq 1$ for all i . Therefore,

$$q\text{-adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) = q\text{-adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

It follows that

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) = \pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

By the integrality of $C_f(s, T)$ and Theorem 1.11, we have

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, \pi_m) \geq T - \text{adic NP of } C_f(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]}.$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_f(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)p_{d,[0,k]} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

□

5. COMPARISON BETWEEN ARITHMETIC POLYGONS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.10, which says that

$$p_{d,[0,k]} \geq p_\Delta.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.10 It is clear that $p_{d,[0,k]}(0) = p_\Delta(0)$. It suffices to show that, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $p_{d,[0,k]}(m+1) \geq p_\Delta(m+1)$. By a result in Liu-Liu-Niu [LLN], we have

$$p_\Delta(m+1) = \sum_{a=1}^m \varpi_\Delta(a) = \sum_{a=1}^m (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{d} \rceil) + \sum_{a=1}^{r_m} 1_{r_{pa} > r_m}.$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{d,[0,k]}(m+1) &= \sum_{a=1}^m \varpi_{d,[0,k]}(a) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^m (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{d} \rceil) + \sum_{a=1}^{r_m} (\lceil \frac{r_{pa}}{k} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{k} \rceil + 1_{\{\frac{r_{pa}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}}), \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{a=1}^m (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{d} \rceil) = \sum_{a=1}^m (\lceil \frac{pa}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{a}{d} \rceil),$$

Let $A_1 = \{1 \leq a \leq r_m | a \neq r_{pi} \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq r_m\}$. Note that

$$\{a : 1 \leq a \leq r_m\} = A_1 \cup A_2,$$

where $A_2 = \{r_{pa} | 1 \leq a, r_{pa} \leq r_m\}$. And

$$\{r_{pa} | 1 \leq a \leq r_m\} = A_2 \cup A_3,$$

where $A_3 = \{r_{pa} > r_m | 1 \leq a \leq r_m\}$, so we have $|A_1| = |A_3|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=1}^{r_m} \left(\left[\frac{r_{pa}}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{a}{k} \right] + 1_{\{\frac{r_{pa}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{r_{pa} \in A_3} \left[\frac{r_{pa}}{k} \right] - \sum_{a \in A_1} \left[\frac{a}{k} \right] + \sum_{r_{pa} \in A_3} 1_{\{\frac{r_{pa}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} - \sum_{a \in A_1} 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} \\
&= \sum_{r_{pa} \in A_3} \left(\left[\frac{r_{pa}}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{r_m}{k} \right] + 1_{\{\frac{r_{pa}}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} \right) + \sum_{a \in A_1} \left(\left[\frac{r_m}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{a}{k} \right] - 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{r_{pa} \in A_3} \left\lceil \frac{r_{pa} - r_m}{k} \right\rceil + \sum_{a \in A_1} \left(\left\lceil \frac{r_m - a}{k} \right\rceil - 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} > \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} - 1_{\{\frac{a}{k}\} < \{\frac{r_m}{k}\}} \right) \\
&\geq |A_3| = \sum_{a=1}^{r_m} 1_{r_{pa} > r_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AS] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Exponential sums and Newton polyhedra: cohomology and estimates, *Ann. Math.*, 130 (1989), 367-406.
- [BF] R. Blache and E. Férard, Newton stratification for polynomials: the open stratum, *J. Number Theory*, 123(2007), 456-472.
- [Li] W.-C. W. Li, Character sums over p -adic fields, *J. Number Theory* 74 (1999), no.2, 181-229.
- [LLN] C. Liu, W. Liu and C. Niu, Genreic T -adic exponential sums in one variable, arXiv:0901.0354.
- [LW] C. Liu and D. Wei, The L -functions of Witt coverings, *Math. Z.*, 255 (2007), 95-115.
- [LWn] C. Liu and D. Wan, T -adic exponential sums, *Algebra & Number Theory*, to appear.
- [Se] J-P. Serre, Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques, *Publ. Math., IHES.*, 12(1962), 69-85.
- [Wa] D. Wan, Newton polygons of zeta functions and L-functions, *Ann. Math.*, 137 (1993), 247-293.
- [Wa2] D. Wan, Variation of p -adic Newton polygons for L-functions of exponential sums, *Asian J. Math.*, Vol 8, 3(2004), 427-474.
- [Zhu] J. H. Zhu, p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125 (2003), 669-690.
- [Zhu2] J. H. Zhu, Asymptotic variation of L functions of one-variable exponential sums, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572 (2004), 219-233.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: CLIU@SJTU.EDU.CN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: WENXIN8210@MAIL.BNU.EDU.CN